

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

**RAJENDRA D. BADGAIYAN, M.D.,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**ANTHONY J. PRINCIPI, Secretary,  
Department of Veterans' Affairs; President  
and Fellows of HARVARD COLLEGE; and  
Grace J. Mushrush, M.D.,**

**Defendants.**

**Case No. 04-12031 JLT**

**DEFENDANT PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE'S  
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS TO ALL REMAINING COUNTS  
OF THE COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 56(c)**

Defendant President and Fellows of Harvard College ("Harvard University" or "Harvard") moves for summary judgment as to Counts I, II, III, V, VI, and VII of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c) and L.R.D.Mass. 56.1, because the undisputed material facts demonstrate that the Plaintiff did not meet the prerequisites to sue under Title VII; Harvard was not responsible for the actions of his supervisor; Harvard's actions were in all respects lawful; and Plaintiff cannot recover under any theory pled in the Complaint.

Count I (discrimination), Count II (hostile environment) and Count III (retaliation) must be dismissed because the Plaintiff failed to file an administrative charge with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") against Harvard University and thus exhaust the statutory prerequisites to filing suit in federal court under Title VII. 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5 (1994). Plaintiff has proffered no reason that exempts him from this requirement. In addition, judgment must be entered for Harvard on Counts I, II

and III because the Plaintiff has not created a genuine issue as to any material fact related to his discrimination and retaliation claims.

Similarly, Harvard is entitled to judgment as a matter of law as to Counts V (interference with advantageous relations), VI (defamation) and VII (infliction of emotional distress) because Massachusetts workers' compensation law provides the exclusive remedy in private employment for workplace injuries. Also, Harvard is not liable as to Count VII because the Plaintiff alleges that the tortfeasor was acting "beyond the scope" of her employment. (Pl. Am. Compl. ¶92).

For all of the foregoing reasons, and those set forth in its Memorandum in Support of this Motion for Summary Judgment, and its Statement of Material Undisputed Facts, the defendant Harvard University moves this Court for Summary Judgment Pursuant Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c) and dismissal of all remaining counts of Plaintiff's complaint.

Respectfully submitted,  
Defendant, President and Fellows of  
Harvard College,  
By its attorneys,

/s/ Daniel S. Field  
Daniel S. Field, Esq. (BBO No. 560096)  
Robert P. Joy, Esq. (BBO No. 254820)  
MORGAN, BROWN & JOY, LLP  
200 State Street  
Boston, MA 02109-2605  
(617) 523-6666

Date: September 29, 2006

**Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that this document(s) filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non-registered participants on September 29, 2006.

/s/ Daniel S. Field  
Daniel S. Field